ABSTRACTS

Respondents in this research include IS staff and Users at Head Office and Jakarta Branch Offices of Jiwasraya State Life Insurance Company

Perceived performance of IS from All respondent viewpoints in average is below their expectation to IS, but still over the average of overall evaluation of IS. Positive perceptual gap is only four factors, and correlation of this gap to overall evaluation of existing IS is positive and weak, and only eight factors classified as "Poor". Perceived performance of IS from IS staff viewpoints in average is below their expectation to IS, but still over the average of overall evaluation of IS. There are twelve positive perceptual gaps, and correlation of this gap to overall evaluation of existing IS is negative and moderate, and no factors classified as "Poor".

Perceived performance of IS from Users viewpoints in average is below their expectation to IS, but still over the average of overall evaluation of IS. There are three positive perceptual gap, and correlation of this gap to overall evaluation of existing IS is positive and weak, and only eleven factors classified as "Poor".

Correlation analysis between IS staff and Users, according to their expectation and perceived performance of information systems concluded that all factors are positively correlated. Correlation between IS staff expectation and perceived performance (IS staff satisfaction) and correlation between Users expectation and perceived performance (Users satisfaction) are positive and strong, with correlation scores are 0.76 and 0.78. Correlation between IS staff expectation and Users perceived performance (IS provides capabilities) is positive and weak, with correlation score only 0.36.As a results of extraction and rotation of factor analysis, from 38 factors to measured expectation and perceived performance, there are four expectation and performance factors must be considered. As a result of Regression analysis to Gap and Non-gap variable, the factor of AGE is significance to the overall evaluation of existing IS.

Keyword: Effectiveness, Information system, Expectation, Perceived performance, Overall evaluation, Gap analysis, Correlation analysis, Factor analysis.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER .1 INTRODUCTION ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
1.1.Background. Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2.Problem statement. Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3.Objectives and benefits. Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.Scope of research. Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER.2 THEORITICAL FOUNDATIONERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
2.1.Literature review. Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER.3 METHODOLOGY ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
3.1.Research design
3.2.Data collection and respondentError! Bookmark not defined.3.2.1.Data collectionError! Bookmark not defined.3.2.2.RespondentsError! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER.4 ANALYSIS AND FINDINGSERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
4.1. Gap analysis for all respondents
4.2.Correlation and Regression Analysis Error! Bookmark not defined. 4.2.1. IS staff satisfaction

4.2.3. IS provides capabilities	Error! Bookmark not definedError! Bookmark not defined.
4.3. Factor Analysis.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3.1.Factor Analysis of IS expectation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3.2.Factor Analysis of IS performance	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER.5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMBOOKMARK NOT DEFINED. 5.1.Conclusions.	
5.2.Recommendations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
REFERENCESERRO	R! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
BIBLIOGRAPHYERRO	R! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
APPENDIX A. QUESTIONNAIRE ERRO	R! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
CURICCULUM VITAE	Error! Bookmark not defined.